

Brent Caslin (Cal. Bar. No. 198682)
 Jenner & Block LLP
 633 West Fifth Street
 Suite 3600
 Los Angeles, California 90071
 Telephone: 213 239-5100
 Facsimile: 213 239-5199
 bcaslin@jenner.com

Terrence J. Truax (*pro hac vice*)
 Michael T. Brody (*pro hac vice*)
 Gabriel A. Fuentes (*pro hac vice*)
 Jenner & Block LLP
 353 N. Clark Street
 Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456
 Telephone: 312 222-9350
 Facsimile: 312 527-0484
 ttruax@jenner.com
 mbrody@jenner.com
 gfuentes@jenner.com

*Attorneys for Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc.,
 and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944-SC
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC DEFENDANTS'
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
 DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA,
 et al.*, No. 13-cv-05264;

*Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v.
 Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05724;

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA,
 et al.*, No. 13-cv-05727;

Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,
 No. 13-cv-05726;

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island
 Corporation, et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*,
 No. 13-cv-05725;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,
No. 13-cv-05686;

Costco Wholesale Corporation v.
Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05723;

Schultze Agency Services, LLC v.
Technicolor SA, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-
05668;

Sears, Roebuck and Co., et al. v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;

Dell Inc., et al. v. Phillips Electronics North
America Corporation, et al., No. 13-cv-
02171;

Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et
al., No.13-cv-00157;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.13-cv-
05261;

Viewsonic Corporation v. Chunghwa
Picture Tubes Ltd., et al., No.13-cv-02510.

Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.’s (collectively, the “Mitsubishi Electric Defendants”) administrative motion to file Mitsubishi Electric Corporation’s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Absence of Evidence of Liability (the “Reply”), as well as Exhibits 24 and 26-29 thereto, Under Seal, has come before the Court. Having considered the Reply and the documents proposed to be filed under seal, pursuant to the Court’s prior sealing orders, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the following are to be sealed:

- Exhibits 24 and 26-29 to the Declaration of Gabriel A. Fuentes in Support of the Reply and the portions of the Reply that refer to or discuss “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” information.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Honorable Samuel Conti
United States District Judge